

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/823,642	<b>Applicant(s)</b> YAHAGI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Daniel I. Walsh	<b>Art Unit</b> 2876	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Daniel I. Walsh.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) John Mattingly (30,293).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 25 May 2007

**Time:** 2pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
N/A

Claims discussed:  
N/A

Prior art documents discussed:  
N/A

**Part II.**


**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner Walsh received authorization to update the continuity information of the specification to reflect that 10200162 is now a patent 6783073.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**MICHAEL G. LEE**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**  
**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800**

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)